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Abstract

Nonclinical pharmacokinetic (PK) and toxicokinetic (TK) toxicity safety studies are performed using good laboratory practice (GLP) regulations to ensure the availability of safe medicines. International GLP regulations uniformly require that dose concentration, homogeneity/uniformity and stability be known prior to administration. However, the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) both confirmed that GLPs do not apply to validation of analytical methods used to determine the concentration of GLP test article in drug dosage forms. It is our assertion that the outcome of nonclinical toxicology safety studies is inherently dependent upon accurate and precise dose formulations. In this paper, we attempt to provide supporting evidence as to why formulation method validation and sample analysis for supporting nonclinical toxicology studies should be consistently conducted under the framework of GLP principles across the globe. GLP studies are planned, performed, monitored, recorded, reported and archived according to a protocol, study plan or standard operating procedure (SOP) which is authorized prior to performing the experiments. All applicable experimental parameters and associated acceptance criteria are pre-defined. The FDA asked for responses to the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for 21 CFR Part 58 GLPs for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies [Docket No. FDA–2010–N–0548] on December 21, 2010. Several comments were received stating that guidance regarding the validation of formulation analysis methods and subsequent use for supporting GLP toxicology study sample analysis is warranted at this time and should be conducted consistently. Adherence to GLP principles for method validation and sample analysis would inherently improve the quality of nonclinical safety studies. Furthermore, the recently published White Paper titled, "Nonclinical dose formulation analysis method validation and sample analysis* should be the keystone of this effort.
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Introduction

New pharmaceutical products require significant resources from concept to final market introduction. Every step along the way is paved with trials and tribulations. A successful new drug application requires a thorough nonclinical (also known as "preclinical") toxicity safety package (e.g., adsorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME), pharmacokinetic (PK) and toxicokinetic (TK)), a successful clinical program (Phases I, II, III and IV), and a comprehensive chemistry, manufacturing and controls (CMC) package. All toxicity safety packages are highly dependent upon accurate and precise analytical methods for quantifying the drug dosage formulations which are administered to the hosts. If the vehicle is free of interference, the dose formulations are carefully prepared according to the batch records, and the aliquots are stored appropriately, then the dose formulations should be at the correct concentrations when administered to the hosts. However, attention to detail alone is not enough to ensure a successful formulation or regulatory compliance.
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For Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulated studies, the formulation doses must be verified for concentration, uniformity (i.e. homogeneity) and stability [1-13]. Explicit regulatory guidance does not currently exist for nonclinical dose formulation analysis method validation or sample analysis. In fact, there has been minimal global regulatory or industry emphasis regarding how to conduct GLP formulation dose analysis in support of nonclinical studies. The White Paper titled “Nonclinical dose formulation analysis method validation and sample analysis” [1] was intended to provide a consensus opinion regarding method validation and sample analysis for nonclinical GLP regulated studies. The only GLP regulatory guidances published are in regards to bioanalytical method validation [14-21]. There has been pronounced emphasis on global harmonization of bioanalytical studies through the efforts of international organizations such as the American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists (AAPS) Bioanalytical Focus Group (BFG), the Canadian Calibration and Validation Group (CVG), the Global Bioanalysis Consortium (GBC) and the Global Contract Research Organization Council (GCC) [22-38]. Undeniably, regulatory guidance for the validation of analytical procedures has been developed for final active pharmaceutical ingredients (also known as API or drug substances) and final drug product formulations (also known as drug products) testing under current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations [39-44]. There has been progress made towards harmonizing cGMP API and drug product method validations [45,46]. There are also some GLP / cGMP regulation comparative documents available [47,48]. However, this lack of GLP regulatory guidance results in nonclinical GLP formulation analysis laboratories relying on regulations which are neither fit for purpose or phase appropriate for conducting formulation method validations and subsequent sample analysis (for example, Bioanalytical GLP or cGMP).

The FDA recently requested responses to the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for 21 CFR Part 58 GLPs for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies [5]. Over 160 comments were received from the pharmaceutical and bioanalytical industry, contract research organizations, and others. Many of the respondents stated that consistency regarding the validation of formulation analysis methods and subsequent use for supporting GLP toxicology study sample analysis is warranted at this time. The White Paper titled, “Nonclinical dose formulation analysis method validation and sample analysis” [1] has been reviewed by well over one thousand readers, and several global organizations have begun aligning their SOPs with the fundamental recommendations. We believe that the time is ripe for a global approach for conducting formulation method validation and sample analysis.

Nonclinical PK and TK formulation method validation studies typically include the parameters of dose concentration range, system suitability, method linearity, accuracy and precision, specificity / selectivity, carryover, sensitivity, pre-processed stability (bench top (BT) stability), post-processed stability (autosampler (AS) stability), short term stability and long term stability. The acceptance criteria for each parameter are defined in study protocols, study plans, or standard operating procedures (SOPs) in advance of study execution. The dose formulation samples are not true “unknowns”, since nonclinical toxicology studies are performed at a target (nominal) dose concentration range. This paper attempts to provide recommendations of best practices on a global harmonized basis with proposed acceptance criteria for nonclinical dose formulation method validation and sample analysis. Like the White Paper [1], this paper will focus on small molecules and the use of high pressure liquid chromatography with ultraviolet or mass spectrometry detectors (HPLC-UV, LC-MS).

Present status of GLPs

All across the globe, industry in general is looking for a better solution to the work that needs done in today’s global economy. Formulation analysis assessments supporting nonclinical toxicology studies must be efficient, effective and compliant. As each calendar year passes by, the world seems to get a little smaller and more of us find ourselves asking the same question; “I can’t find any guidance on that, what do I do?” In the realm of GLP we are constantly reminded to refer to the basics, the predicate rules.

All GLP texts, regardless of their origin, stress the same five important common themes: resources (organization, personnel, training, facilities and equipment), rules (protocols and written procedures), characterization (test items and test systems), contemporaneous documentation (raw data, final report and archives), and quality assurance. The information provided in Table 1 lists pertinent definitions for key GLP regulatory aspects [1-13]. All GLPs apply to the chemical procedures used to characterize the test and control substances/items/articles - the pertinent information must be documented; for example using a relevant certificate of analysis (CWA: identity, strength, purity, stability, composition and uniformity, as applicable) and material safety data sheet (MSDS; safe handling, storage and disposal) documents. All GLP texts contain similar language regarding the mixtures of test and control substances/items/articles with carriers/incipients/vehicles. No matter what semantic you prefer or require, the purpose is still the same; testing by an appropriate analytical method shall be conducted using a qualified, calibrated and maintained system, and conducted to determine the following properties of the mixture: uniformity (homogeneity), concentration and stability. Concentration assessment is required periodically, but not on every prepared formulation. Homogeneity assessment is required on suspensions, but is not required on true solutions. GLP is just a framework system to guide you through these five very important, yet common themes. Compliance with GLP principles is intended to assure the quality and integrity of the nonclinical toxicology safety data.

Discussion and Conclusions

Method validation

The White Paper titled “Nonclinical dose formulation analysis method validation and sample analysis” [1] presented a mechanism by which analytical methods used for dose formulation analysis could be validated in order to comply with multiple regulatory agencies. In order to accomplish this, the type of validation required must first be determined. The type of validation is based on the phase of the compound and status of any existing methods. Table 2 lists the experiments needed for each type of method validation.

An early phase compound is one being used in a study lasting ≤ 3 months and may be limited in availability. A full validation is performed when a method is being instituted for the first time for regulated analysis and is the most comprehensive validation type. A partial validation is performed when there is a change made to a validated method that may include changes to dose range or vehicle composition. A transfer validation is performed when a validated method is being performed by a second laboratory as written.
Nonclinical Laboratory
Studies

FDA (58.2 (d)): Nonclinical laboratory studies are in vivo or in vitro experiments in which test articles are studied prospectively in test systems under laboratory conditions to determine their safety; are exclusive of studies which utilize human subjects or clinical field trials in animals; exclusive of exploratory studies for efficacy; and are exclusive of studies to determine physical or chemical characteristics of a test article.

EPA (792.3): Study means any experiment at one or more test sites, in which a test substance is studied in a test system under laboratory conditions or in the environment to determine or help predict its effects, metabolism, product performance (efficacy studies only as required by 40 CFR 158.640), environmental and chemical fate, persistence and residue, or other characteristics in humans, other living organisms, or media. The term "study" does not include basic exploratory studies carried out to determine whether a test substance or a test method has any potential utility.

OECD (Section I, 2.3.1.): Non-clinical health and environmental safety study, henceforth referred to simply as "study", means an experiment or set of experiments in which a test item is examined under laboratory conditions or in the environment to obtain data on its properties and/or its safety, intended for submission to appropriate regulatory authorities.

PK Studies

A study of how a medicine is handled by the body, usually involving measurement of blood concentrations of drug and its metabolite(s) (sometimes concentrations in urine or tissues) as a function of time. Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies are used to characterize absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of a drug, either in blood or in other pertinent locations. When combined with pharmacodynamic measures (a PK/PD study) it can characterize the relation of blood concentrations to the extent and timing of pharmacodynamic effects.

TK Studies

Drug safety evaluations consisting of standard animal toxicology studies for the assessment of drug exposure: hazard screens or systemic toxicology.

CHMP

The Committee for Medicinal Products is the EMEA’s committee responsible for elaborating the agency’s opinions on all issues regarding medicinal products for human use.

FDA

FDA regulation (21 CFR Part 58) for studies regulated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act. FDA regulations apply to nonclinical laboratory studies conducted for test articles studies in test systems under laboratory conditions to determine their safety.

OECD

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) GLPs Section 8(8.1) regulation (ENV/MC/Chem(98)17) the OECD Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring, Number 1.

OECD regulations apply to non-clinical safety studies to obtain data on the properties and/or safety with respect to human health and/or the environment of the pharmaceutical products, pesticide products, cosmetic products, veterinary drugs, food and feed additives, industrial chemicals, environmental safety studies conducted in the laboratory, greenhouses or in the field.

EPA

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) GLPs 40CFR160, Subpart G, Section 160.120(2). Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and Part 792 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

MHRA

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, UK (Statutory Instrument 1999/3106)

MHRA (UK GLPs) is an executive agency of the Department of Health in the UK that regulates medicines and medical devices as well as blood and therapeutic products/services derived from tissue engineering.

Test Article/Item/Substance

FDA (58.3(b)): Test article means any food additive, color additive, drug, biological product, electronic product, medical device for human use, or any other article subject to regulation under the act or under sections 351 and 354-360F of the Public Health Service Act.

EPA (792.3): Test substance means a substance or mixture administered or added to a test system in a study, which substance or mixture: 1) Is the subject of an application for a research or marketing permit supported by the study, or is the contemplated subject of such an application; or 2) Is an ingredient, impurity, degradation product, metabolite, or radioactive isotope of a substance described by paragraph (1) of this definition, or some other substance related to a substance described by that paragraph, which is used in the study to assist in characterizing the toxicity, metabolism, or other characteristics of a substance described by that paragraph.

OECD (Section I, 2.4.1.): Test item means an article that is the subject of a regulatory study. In this paper: we will refer to the "analyte" (active pharmaceutical ingredient / drug substance / test article / control article / reference substance / test item).

Test System

FDA (58.3, (i)): Test system means any animal, plant, microorganism, or subparts thereof to which the test or control article is administered or added for study. Test system also includes appropriate groups or components of the system not treated with the test or control articles.

EPA (792.3): Test system means any animal, plant, microorganism, chemical or physical matrix, including but not limited to soil or water, or subparts thereof, to which the test, control, or reference substance is administered or added for study. "Test system" also includes appropriate groups or components of the system not treated with the test, control, or reference substance.

OECD (Section I, 2.3.8.): Test system means any biological, chemical or physical system or a combination thereof used in a study.

UK GLPs: Test System means any biological, chemical or physical system or a combination thereof used in a regulatory study.

Carrier/Excipients/Vehicle

OECD (2.4, 4.): Vehicle means any agent which serves as a carrier used to mix, disperse, or solubilize the test item or reference item to facilitate the administration/application to the test system.

UK GLPs: Vehicle means any agent which serves as a carrier used to mix, disperse, or solubilize the test or reference item to facilitate the administration or application to the test system.

EPA Parts 160 and 792: Carrier means any material, including but limited to, feed, water, soil, and nutrient media, with which the test substance is combined for administration to a test system.

EPA Parts 160 and 792: Vehicle means any agent which facilitates the mixture, dispersion, or solubilization of a test substance with a carrier.
Mixtures/Formulations: FDA (58.113): For each test or control article that is mixed with a carrier, tests by appropriate analytical methods shall be conducted: To determine the uniformity of the mixture and to determine, periodically, the concentration of the test or control article in the mixture. To determine the stability of the test and control articles in the mixture as required by the conditions of the study either before study initiation, or concomitantly according to written standard operating procedures which provide for periodic analysis of the test and control articles in the mixture. Where any of the components of the test or control article carrier mixture has an expiration date, that date shall be clearly shown on the container. If more than one component has an expiration date, the earliest date shall be shown.

EPA (792.113) For each test, control, or reference substance that is mixed with a carrier, tests by appropriate analytical methods shall be conducted: To determine the uniformity of the mixture and to determine, periodically, the concentration of the test, control, or reference substance in the mixture. To determine the stability of the test, control or reference substance in the mixture before the experimental start date or concomitantly according to written standard operating procedures, which provide for periodic analysis of each batch. Solubility and interference of vehicle with article is also required. Where any of the components of the test, control, or reference substance carrier mixture has an expiration date, that date shall be clearly shown on the container. If more than one component has an expiration date; the earliest date shall be shown.

OECD (Section II): 6.2.5. If the test item is administered or applied in a vehicle, the homogeneity, concentration and stability of the test item in that vehicle should be determined. For test items used in field studies (e.g., tank mixes), these may be determined through separate laboratory experiments.

Reference Item / Control Substance: FDA (58.3, (c)): Control Article means any food additive, color additive, drug, biological product, electronic product, medical device for human use, or any article other than a test article, feed, or water that is administered to the test system in the course of a nonclinical laboratory study for the purpose of establishing a basis for comparison with the test article.

OECD (2.4, 2.): Reference item (“control item”) means any article used to provide a basis for comparison with the test item.

UK GLPs: Reference item means any article used to provide a basis for comparison with a test item.

EPA Parts 160 and 792: Control Substance means any chemical substance or mixture, or any other material other than a test substance, feed, or water, that is administered to the test system in the course of a study for the purpose of establishing a basis for comparison with the test substance for known chemical or biological measurements.

EPA Parts 160 and 792: Reference Substance means any chemical substance or mixture, or analytical standard, or any material other than a test substance, feed, or water, that is administered to or used in analyzing the test system in the course of a study for the purpose of establishing a basis for comparison with the test substance for known chemical or biological measurements.

Table 1: Definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Early Phase</th>
<th>Full</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When is it conducted?</td>
<td>≤3 months Limited API</td>
<td>≥3 months</td>
<td>Significant change</td>
<td>Between labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTs (retention time, area, N, T, K, R,I)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Performance Check Standards</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity &amp; Range (R2 &gt; 0.99)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of QC Batch Runs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryover (1% of std. or 20% LLOQ)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOQ (sensitivity, S/N ≥ 10)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity / Selectivity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (% recovery) and Precision (% RSD)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Intra-run and Inter-run</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability (pre &amp; post, storage, F/T, stock)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The pertinent references used to prepare this table are numbers 1-13.

Table 2: Four Types of Validations.

Quality control (QC) samples are prepared at three levels (low, mid and high) spanning the anticipated dosing levels of the in-life nonclinical toxicology safety study. The QC samples are prepared by adding a known amount of compound to the vehicle that is intended to be used in the study. Preparation of the QC samples are typically performed in class A volumetric flasks or by weight for solid vehicles. A QC batch run will typically contain triplicate preparations of each of the three QC levels. The stability of these solutions should be assessed at the anticipated storage condition for the in-life study. This is usually refrigerated or frozen. Stability should be assessed for the anticipated storage time from preparation to analysis, usually 2 weeks to 1 month. Recommended acceptance criteria are presented in Table 3.

The resulting analytical method document typically contains the following sections: method limitations (range of method, vehicle used in validation), reagents, preparation of solutions, preparation of standards, sample preparation / dilution, system suitability requirements, stability of solutions, instrument conditions, calculations, and safety indications. The method should be drafted prior to validation and finalized following successful validation. The validated method is then used for sample analysis.

Sample analysis

Following a successful method validation, dose formulation samples used in an in-life study may be analyzed using the method as written. Triplicate (≥ n=3) samples are analyzed in order to confirm the concentration of compound in the vehicle which was used to dose the subjects in an in-life study. Furthermore, dose formulations are assessed for homogeneity by analyzing triplicate samples from the top, middle and bottom of the bulk formulation. The homogeneity data is analyzed per strata (≥ n=3) as well as across the batch (≥ n=9). Stability of the actual dose formulations used in an in-life study may also be assessed by storing samples at the storage conditions used in the
Intra- and Inter-run Accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions</td>
<td>100 ±10% recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspensions</td>
<td>100 ±15% recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>100 ±20% recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intra- and Inter-run Precision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions</td>
<td>≤5% RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspensions</td>
<td>≤10% RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>≤20% RSD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions</td>
<td>100 ±10% recovery, ≤10% RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspensions</td>
<td>100 ±15% recovery, ≤10% RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>100 ±20% recovery, ≤15% RSD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Method Validation Parameters and Acceptance Criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection Type</th>
<th># of injections</th>
<th>Parameter(s)</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diluent Blanks</td>
<td>≥ 1 injected at the beginning of the sequence</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>≤ LLOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Suitability Test (SST)</td>
<td>≥ 3 points injected at the beginning of the chromatographic sequence following the diluent blank</td>
<td>Retention Time (t&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>As per SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peak Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tailing Factor (T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Theoretical Plates (N)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity Factor (k')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution (R&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Point Calibration Curve</td>
<td>≥ 5 points injected immediately following the SST injections</td>
<td>Coefficient of Determination (R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>≥ 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y-intercept</td>
<td>Not significantly different than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Point Calibration Curve</td>
<td>≥ 5 points injected immediately following the SST injections</td>
<td>Coefficient of Determination (R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>≥ 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y-intercept</td>
<td>Not significantly different than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock Standard Comparison</td>
<td>≥ 5 injections of the stock standard diluted to mid range of a multipoint curve concentration or to the single point curve concentration</td>
<td>Concentration (PR)</td>
<td>100 ±5% difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Checks</td>
<td>Same solution as SSTs; bracketing 10 or less samples</td>
<td>Concentration (PR)</td>
<td>100 ±5% nominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Processed Samples</td>
<td>≥ 3 injections of each sample preparation</td>
<td>Concentration (PR) Solutions</td>
<td>100 ±10% (PR) ≤ 10 (%RSD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration (PR) Suspensions</td>
<td>100 ±15% (PR) ≤ 10 (%RSD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration (PR) Feeds / Solid Matrices</td>
<td>100 ±20% (PR) ≤ 15 (%RSD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Sample Analysis Parameters and Acceptance Criteria.

... study and analyzing them for content. Recommended sample analysis parameters and acceptance criteria are presented in Table 4.

Conclusion

The White Paper is widely accepted as an industry standard representation of formulation validation and sample analysis parameters / activities. The mechanism of method validation and sample analysis presented there complies with all regulatory agencies’ expectations. There is no reason that the White Paper cannot be applied globally as a mechanism to validate analytical methods for formulations analysis and perform formulation sample analysis.
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